

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,055	07/14/2003	Timothy J. Ohara	LSI0054/US/2	7665
33072 KAGAN BIND	7590 02/12/2007 DER PLLC	EXAMINER		
SUITE 200, MAPLE ISLAND BUILDING 221 MAIN STREET NORTH STILLWATER, MN 55082			NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			•				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/620,055	OHARA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 De</u>	<u>ecember 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Summan	, (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) X Infon	1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/2006. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 04, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As a first matter, while the Examiner acknowledges painstakingly citing numerous passages of both the Jina and Hodges references, he most emphatically did not desperately piece together the rejection.

Applicant refers to column 2, lines 31-41 of Jina apparently as a teaching that the Jina electrode arrangement is constrained to side-by-side electrodes on a non-porous surface. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The passage in Jina relied by Applicant discloses prior art coagulation sensors that function in a different manner that that of Jina's. In particular, the prior art sensors discussed in column 2, lines 31-41 of Jina measure coagulation from resistance measurements. Jina, in contrast, reacts the blood sample with a reagent and measures current or voltage. Although, conductivity plots are shown in the figures, a fundamental aspect of Jina's use of the sensor involves measuring diffusion characteristics of an electroactive species based on current or voltage measuresurement. In other words a key aspect of Jina's sensing method is that it relies on electrochemical reactions. See col. 09:16-31; col. 09:51-63; and col. 10:32-40.

Moreover, contrary to Applicant's underlined statement regarding critical emphasis being placed on the geometric orientation of the cell and Applicant's

Page 3

statement, "Jina teaches that pervious attempts ... has led to the conclusion that geometric orientation of the electrode was critical," Jina states, "The device 10 itself may assume *any* convenient geometric shape as long as the electronics and chemistry described herein are cost effectively contained with acceptable performance. [emphasis added]" See col. 05:25-29. Other than in discussion of the prior art, nowhere does Jina discuss cell geometry (particularly side-by-side electrodes) as being significant for good measurement results.

As for the Hodges reference, the disclosed sensor is not constrained to measuring glucose; this is only an example use. See page 01:03-07. Also, as pointed out in the rejection of claim 21 in the previous Office action both Hodges and Jina make measurements on whole blood sample and both Hodges and Jina disclose the same redox mediator, ferricyaninde. Since an important aspect of Jina's method involves measuring diffusion characteristics of a redox species, such as ferricyanide, in whole blood, it is highly relevant that Hodges teaches improving measurements of redox properties by having the working electrode and counter electrode closely spaced together and opposite one another. See page 06:07-15; page 08:12-14; and page 19:20-23.

For the reasons set forth above the rejections of claims 21-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103 are maintained. The rejections of claim 29 and 30 are restated below in light of Applicant's amendment; however, the Examiner's rebuttal above still holds.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,055 Page 4

Art Unit: 1753

Status of the rejections pending since the Office action of August 29, 2006

- 2. The rejections of claims 21-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Jina as modified by Hodges are maintained.
- 3. The rejection of claims 29 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn.
- 4. The rejection of claim 30 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.
- 5. The objection to claims 25 and 27 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,055

Art Unit: 1753

Addressing claim 29, Jina discloses a system for use in determining a change in viscosity of a fluid sample (abstract), comprising

- (1) an electrochemical test strip (16) comprising
 - (a) spaced apart working (64) and reference electrodes (66); and
 - (b) a reagent mixture comprising:
 - (i) a redox couple (col. 11:50-54 and col. 4:9-17)
 - (i) a coagulation agent (col. 11:50-61, col. 9:45-58; col. 10:6-16);

and

(2) a meter (Figure 1).

Jina does not mention (a) having the working and reference electrodes oppositely spaced apart, and (b) having the working and reference electrodes spaced apart from about 50 to 750µm.

Hodges discloses an electrochemical cell comprising working and reference electrodes oppositely spaced apart from about 50 to 750µm. See Figures 1-4 and the abstract. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the working and reference electrodes oppositely spaced apart from about 50 to 750µm as taught by Hodges in the invention of Jina because as taught by Hodges, "This ... allows the diffusion coefficient and concentration of the redox species (mediator) to be measured independently of sample variations and therefore improves accuracy and reliability" (page 6, lines 12-15), Jina discloses using the same redox couple as Hodges (ferricyanide – page 6, lines 7-11 and in Jina col. 11:50-54)

and measures diffusion properties of the redox couple (col. 9:25-31 and col. 10:36-40), and because the cell of Hodges can be used with blood samples (page 6, lines 16-19).

It should be noted that although the embodiment in Figure 6 of Jina shows separate counter and reference electrodes Hodges states, "For preference the cell comprises a working electrodes and counter/reference electrodes. If a reference electrode separate from a counter electrode is used, then the reference electrode may be in any convenient location in which it is in contact with the sample in the sensor." See page 6, lines 3-6.

Addressing claim 30, Jina discloses a system for use in determining a change in viscosity of a fluid sample (abstract), comprising

- (1) an electrochemical test strip (16); and
- (2) a meter comprising:
- (a) means for applying an electric potential to an electrochemical cell made up of spaced apart working and reference electrodes and comprising a fluid sample (Figures 1, 2, and 6; col. 8:50-58; and col. 7:18-22); and
- (b) means for measuring cell current between the spaced working and reference electrodes (vol. 6:54 col. 7:8).

Jina does not *mention* means for detecting a change in the measured cell current and means for relating the change in measured cell current to a change in viscosity of

Application/Control Number: 10/620,055

Art Unit: 1753

the fluid sample. However, these means are arguably implied or clearly obvious because Jina discloses, "The processor **32** contains a program which includes, but is not limited to, *interpreting* the current off the electrodes, ... [emphasis added]" (col. 7:4-8) and

Until such time when clotting is complete, there may be a slight increase in the current of the clot due to aggregation of the electroactive species in spite of restricted ionic mobility and or diffusion. Such a current time profile is extremely useful in determining the onset of clotting as well as the endpoint of the clotting process and could conceptually provide a very accurate means for determining the onset of clotting as well as the endpoint of the clotting times in PT, APTT and other clotting assays. The sensitivity of these types of current or voltage time measurements is inherent in the direct measurement technique ..." (col. 9:49-61).

In other words, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide in Jina means for detecting a change in the measured cell current and means for relating the change in measured cell current to a change in viscosity of the fluid sample because Jina discloses means for interpreting the measured current and the changes in the current profile reflect different stages of clotting. Thus, clotting can be monitored with such means.

Jina also does not mention having the means for applying an electric potential to an electrochemical cell configured for an electrochemical cell made up of oppositely spaced apart working and reference electrodes. In all of the Jina embodiments the electrodes are on the same plane. See Figures 2-5.

Hodges discloses an electrochemical cell comprising working and reference electrodes oppositely spaced apart from about 50 to 750µm. See Figures 1-4 and the abstract. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 1753

the invention to have the working and reference electrodes oppositely spaced apart from about 50 to 750µm as taught by Hodges in the invention of Jina (and so of course the electrical cell contacts in the meter of Jina oppositely spaced) because as taught by Hodges, "This ... allows the diffusion coefficient and concentration of the redox species (mediator) to be measured independently of sample variations and therefore improves accuracy and reliability" (page 6, lines 12-15), Jina discloses using the same redox couple as Hodges (ferricyanide – page 6, lines 7-11 and in Jina col. 11:50-54) and measures diffusion properties of the redox couple (col. 9:25-31 and col. 10:36-40), and because the cell of Hodges can be used with blood samples (page 6, lines 16-19).

It should be noted that although the embodiment in Figure 6 of Jina shows separate counter and reference electrodes Hodges states, "For preference the cell comprises a working electrodes and counter/reference electrodes. If a reference electrode separate from a counter electrode is used, then the reference electrode may be in any convenient location in which it is in contact with the sample in the sensor." See page 6, lines 3-6.

Art Unit: 1753

. Final Rejection

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX NOGUEROLA whose telephone number is (571) 272-1343. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NAM NGUYEN can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,055

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 10

Alex Noguerola Primary Examiner

AU 1753

February 8, 2007